Application No. 10/562,502

Amendment Dated January 29, 2008

Reply to Office Action of January 3, 2008

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 8 – 10 and 12 – 16 remain in this application, with Claims 14 and 16 having been

amended and Claims 1 - 7 and 11 having been canceled to expedite the prosecution of this

application.

Favorable reconsideration is respectfully requested in view of the foregoing amendments

and the following remarks.

The applicant and his undersigned attorney are most appreciative of the indication of

allowability of Claims 8 - 10, 12 and 13.

Claims 14 - 16 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 as failing to comply with the

enablement requirement, i.e., the applicant has allegedly "not provided sufficient structure to

support the functional or operational language presented in lines 8-10 of claim 14."

By the subject amendment Claim 14 has been amended to render it fully compliant with

the mandates of 35 U.S.C. 112. In particular, as amended Claim 14 calls for the legs being

arranged for the thigh portion to bend and thereby absorb energy during an accident involving

the vehicle, whereupon the legs are lifted from the vehicle once the energy has been absorbed.

Clear support for this amendment can be found in the specification. See for example, the

paragraph starting on line 20 of page 9 wherein it states: "In the event of a crash, a leg 25 will

tend to bend at its thigh portion, so lifting the foot off the floor of the vehicle." So too, the last

sentence in the paragraph beginning on line 10 of page 13 states: "In the event of a crash, the

horizontal leg 41 deforms about the forward end of the seat and as shown in Fig. 9B, tends to

135379_1

5

Application No. 10/562,502

Amendment Dated January 29, 2008

Reply to Office Action of January 3, 2008

bend around the point at which the thigh portion extends forward of the slot 24 and the leg 42

may be lifted up off the floor once the shock has been absorbed.

Claim 14 was also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 as being "unclear if the horizontal

portion and the thigh portion are intended to be the same structure." It is respectfully submitted

that the subject amendment has obviated that rejection as well, since the claim now speaks solely

in terms of the thigh portion. Thus, in view of the amendment to Claim 14, it is respectfully

requested that the rejection of Claim 14 on the grounds of non-enablement and failing to point

out and distinctly claim the invention be withdrawn.

Claim 14 was also rejected on the basis of prior art, namely, that the subject matter of this

claim is anticipated by EP 0844938. It is respectfully submitted that amended Claim 14 as set

forth herein clearly defines over that reference. In this connection, it is clear that EP 0844938

does $\underline{\text{not}}$ disclose a seat body having "elongate sockets extending in a forward direction when

the seat is located in a vehicle" (emphasis added). Furthermore, the legs in the device of that

reference are not "arranged for the thigh portion to bend and absorb energy during an accident involving the vehicle, and subsequent to the accident for the leg to be lifted from the vehicle

once the energy has been absorbed."

The examiner's attention is respectfully directed to the arguments presented in the prior

amendment in relation to the non-obviousness of Claims 8 and 9, since such arguments are

applicable to amended Claim 14.

Claims 15 and 16 depend directly upon Claims 9 and 8, respectively, and are hence

patentable for reasons similar thereto.

135379_1

6

Application No. 10/562,502 Amendment Dated January 29, 2008

Reply to Office Action of January 3, 2008

For at least the reasons set forth above, it is respectfully submitted that the aboveidentified application is in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and prompt allowance of the claims are respectfully requested.

Should the Examiner believe that anything further is desirable in order to place the application in even better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact Applicants' undersigned attorney at the telephone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

CAESAR, RIVISE, BERNSTEIN, COHEN & POKOTILOW, LTD.

Barry A. Stein

Registration No. 25,257 Customer No. 03000

(215) 567-2010 Attorneys for Applicant(s)

January 29, 2008

Please charge or credit our Account No. 03-0075 as necessary to effect entry and/or ensure consideration of this submission.